Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
Silv Communication Inc.)	IC No. 10-S0297820
Complaint Regarding)	
Unauthorized Change of)	
Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier)	

ORDER

Adopted: August 9, 2011 Released: August 15, 2011

By the Deputy Chief, Consumer Policy Division, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau:

- 1. In this Order, we consider the complaint filed by Complainant¹ alleging that Silv Communication Inc. (Silv) changed Complainant's telecommunications service provider without obtaining authorization and verification from Complainant in violation of the Commission's rules.² We conclude that Silv's actions did result in an unauthorized change in Complainant's telecommunications service provider and we grant Complainant's complaint.
- 2. In December 1998, the Commission released the *Section 258 Order* in which it adopted rules to implement Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 (Act), as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act).³ Section 258 prohibits the practice of "slamming," the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber's selection of

¹ Informal Complaint No. IC 10-S0297820, filed April 5, 2010.

² See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

³ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a); Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-129, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 14 FCC Rcd 1508 (1998) (Section 258 Order), stayed in part, MCI WorldCom v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. May 18, 1999); First Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 8158 (2000); stay lifted, MCI WorldCom v. FCC, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. June 27, 2000); Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 15996 (2000), Errata, DA No. 00-2163 (rel. Sept. 25, 2000), Erratum, DA No. 00-2192 (rel. Oct. 4, 2000), Order, FCC 01-67 (rel. Feb. 22, 2001); Third Order on Reconsideration and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 18 FCC Rcd 5099 (2003); Order, 18 FCC Rcd 10997 (2003); Fourth Report and Order, 23 FCC Rcd 493 (2008). Prior to the adoption of Section 258, the Commission had taken various steps to address the slamming problem. See, e.g., Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-129, Report and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 9560 (1995), stayed in part, 11 FCC Rcd 856 (1995); Policies and Rules Concerning Changing Long Distance Carriers, CC Docket No. 91-64, 7 FCC Rcd 1038 (1992), reconsideration denied, 8 FCC Rcd 3215 (1993); Investigation of Access and Divestiture Related Tariffs, CC Docket No. 83-1145, Phase I, 101 F.C.C.2d 911, 101 F.C.C.2d 935, reconsideration denied, 102 F.C.C.2d 503 (1985).

a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service.⁴ In the *Section 258 Order*, the Commission adopted aggressive new rules designed to take the profit out of slamming, broadened the scope of the slamming rules to encompass all carriers, and modified its existing requirements for the authorization and verification of preferred carrier changes. The rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur.⁵ Pursuant to Section 258, carriers are absolutely barred from changing a customer's preferred local or long distance carrier without first complying with one of the Commission's verification procedures.⁶ Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that meets the requirements of Section 64.1130; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an independent third party to verify the subscriber's order.⁷

- 3. The Commission also has adopted liability rules. These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. In that context, if the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change. Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the Commission's rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150% of those charges to the authorized carrier, and the authorized carrier shall refund or credit to the subscriber 50% of all charges paid by the subscriber to the unauthorized carrier. Carriers should note that our actions in this order do not preclude the Commission from taking additional action, if warranted, pursuant to Section 503 of the Act. 10
- 4. We received Complainant's complaint on April 5, 2010, alleging that Complainant's telecommunications service provider had been changed to WorldCom without Complainant's authorization. Pursuant to Sections 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules, we notified MCI of the complaint (because WorldCom and MCI previously had merged), and Verizon

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁵ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120.

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁷ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1130.

See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.*

⁹ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1170.

¹⁰ See 47 U.S.C. § 503.

⁴⁷ C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

responded on behalf of MCI on May 14, 2010. 12 Verizon states that United Telecom sent Verizon an order to add Complainant's telephone number to its reseller account. 13 Pursuant to Sections 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules, 14 we notified United Telecom of the complaint and United Telecom responded on June 17, 2010. 15 United Telecom states that it is a switch-less reseller and that Complainant's telephone account is under their reseller account Silv Communication Inc (Silv). Pursuant to Sections 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules, 16 we notified Silv of the complaint and Silv responded on July 12, 2010. 17 Silv states that authorization was received and confirmed through independent third party verification (TPV). We have reviewed the TPV that Silv submitted with its response. During the course of the TPV, the verifier recited a telephone number presumably associated with the business, but did not specifically elicit the "telephone numbers to be switched." 18 Our rules require that the TPV elicit the numbers that are to be *switched*, rather than merely verifying numbers associated with a business or residence, or for what purpose the numbers are used. 19 As we emphasized in the *Fourth Report and Order*, "any description of the carrier change transaction...shall not be misleading." We find that Silv's actions were in violation of our carrier change rules, and we discuss Silv's liability below. 21

Verizon's Response to Informal Complaint No. IC 10-S0297820, received May 14, 2010. MCI and Verizon merged in 2006, but MCI is a separate entity.

Verizon subsequently explained that it continues to work on changing the names of its legal entities including WorldCom. Verizon states that WorldCom was assigned the 0555 CIC code and was permanently removed as of September 2010; that customers should no longer see WorldCom on their bills; and that United Telecom, which is a Verizon reseller, does not have its own CIC code and thus, uses the 0555 CIC code. *See* e-mail to Bert Weintraub, Attorney Advisor, FCC, from Shelby R. Robinette, Verizon Federal Regulatory (dated October 28, 2010).

⁴⁷ C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

United Telecom's Response to Informal Complaint No. IC 10-S0297820, received June 17, 2010.

⁴⁷ C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to Section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

Silv's Response to Informal Complaint No. IC 10-S0297820, received July 12, 2010.

¹⁸ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c)(3)(iii).

¹⁹ See id.

²⁰ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c)(3)(iii); Fourth Report and Order, 23 FCC Rcd 493 (2008).

If Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of this complaint, Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to Section 1.721 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of such Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to Complainant. *See* 47 C.F.R. § 1.719.

- 5. Silv must remove all charges incurred for service provided to Complainant for the first thirty days after the alleged unauthorized change in accordance with the Commission's liability rules.²² We have determined that Complainant is entitled to absolution for the charges incurred during the first thirty days after the unauthorized change occurred and neither their authorized carrier nor Silv may pursue any collection against Complainant for those charges.²³ Any charges imposed by Silv on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber at the rates the subscriber was paying to their authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change.²⁴
- 6. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and Sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the complaint filed by Complainant against Silv Communication IS GRANTED.
- 7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 64.1170(d) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(d), Complainant is entitled to absolution for the charges incurred during the first thirty days after the unauthorized change occurred and neither Silv nor their authorized carrier may pursue any collection against Complainant for those charges.
 - 8. IT IS FURTHERED ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Nancy A. Stevenson, Deputy Chief Consumer Policy Division Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

²² See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1160(b).

²³ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1160(d).

²⁴ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160.